

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/502,834	02/11/2000	Tetsuo Kodama	203924	5735	
759	05/07/2003				
John Kilyk, Jr. Leydig, Voit & Mayer, Ltd. Two Prudential Plaza 180 North Stetson, Suite 4900			EXAMI	EXAMINER TRUONG, DUC	
			TRUONO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60	601-6780		1731	0	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		3h11				
	Application N	Applicant(s)				
	09/502,834	KODAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc Truong	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daysill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application	ation	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/502,834

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over So et al of record on 1449.

The reference discloses a polybenzazole dope filament comprising a polybenzazole polymer (see col. 2, lines 10 et seq.) and about 1 to 10 % by weight of dye compounds comprising naphthols (see col. 6, lines 25-30).

Note that said dye compounds are useful to absorb light with a wavelength in the range of from about 300 nm to about 600 nm (see col. 6, lines 30), overlapped with these in the claims.

The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claims in that it does not disclose the claimed specific light resisting agent such as aniline, phenylene diamine, aminophenol, nitrophenol, sulfonamide, and diaminophthalene.

However, the reference does disclose the use of naphthols having the same functionality with the claimed light resisting agent, to absorb light with the wavelength of from 300-600 nm. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the naphthols, as disclosed in the reference, to replace said light resisting agent of the claims since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and

Application/Control Number: 09/502,834

Art Unit: 1711

thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said selection.

The Declarations have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because there is no comparative examples have been provided between the claimed light resisting agents and the naphthol, as disclosed in the reference.

Applicant's arguments are based on the structures of the light resisting agents, whereas the Examiner's arguments are based on the functionality of said light resisting agents, as in the claims (the claims disclose the functionality of said light resisting agent).

Applicant does not argue why the naphthol, as disclosed in the reference, can not be considered as light resisting agent.

Applicant is correct in stating that the claimed light resisting agents, when combined with a polybenzozole, to form an article of not more than 30% in not less than 30% of the wavelength region of from 450 nm to 700 nm, so does naphthol, unless Applicant provides evidence to show that they are different.

The Declarations have been submitted to show the strength retention after xenon light exposure of said claimed light resisting agents, for 24 hours is >51%, so does naphthol, unless Applicant provides evidence to show that they are different.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 703-308-2437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,834

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9791 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

DT May 5, 2003

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER